UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/748,715 | 12/30/2003 | Thomas Odorzynski | 19197 (27839-2575) | 2119 |
| 45736 Christopher M. | 7590 06/04/200 Goff (27839) | EXAMINER | | |
| ARMSTRONG | TEASDALE LLP | DAGNEW, SABA | | |
| ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE SUITE 2600 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ST. LOUIS, MO | O 63102 | 3688 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/04/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USpatents@armstrongteasdale.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 10/748,715 | ODORZYNSKI, THOMAS | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | | |

| | CABACHEN | |
|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence address |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>19 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing dat | 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); | ΓE below); |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a control NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate, t | timely filed amendment canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-8,10-18,20,22,24-29 , and 31-33. | | I be entered and an explanation of |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attached. |
| 11. X The request for reconsideration has been consider because: | | |
| Applicant presently argued on previously responded arg applicant to review the previous response under response | se to arguments section of the prev | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | |
| S.D. | | and a NACRA day |
| 0.0. | Ja/ Supervisory Patent Exar | ames W Myhre/ miner, Art Unit 3688 |